*Previous references.*

S.R 137 1894-5
Series 3 to 6
S.R-6 91892-3
*Later references.*

1894-5
~~191~~
(Separate Revenue

File No. 13 91894
Serial Nos. 1 to 2

S.R 54 1559 1897-8

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 1 to ~~12~~ 2

Major ~~Part~~ B. for September 1894

SUBJECT

Claim for remission preferred by Venkatachalapati Toddy Rent Farmer, Satnoor Circle, Kankanadalli Taluq.

Contents

Sl. 1 From the Excise Commr in Mysore
No 919 dt 28th August 1894
Sl 1
Forwards copy of Communication from the Dy Commr Bangalore Dt with a copy of ... a remission Statement for Rs 400 on account of the loss sustained by Venkatachalapati Toddy Rent Farmer and requests to sanction the same

Sl 2. To the Excise Commr in Mysore No 5853 dt 29-9-1894
G. R. S. 58
States that Sanction is accorded to a remission of Rs 400 and interest as preferred by the D.C. And States that the Taker Shows that the Farmer became a defaulter and that Coercive measures had to be Resorted to.

GPB—4,000—4-7-11

Bangalore Venkatachalapati Rao
23rd March 1911

(3)

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189 OFFICE 2524 24 AUG 9

From The Excise Commissr in Mysore

To R 63[illegible]

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

S.R 139/18[illegible]

M.S Series 101

Dated 23rd August 189

Despatched 24th.

Received

Enclosures No. (2 & 10

General No. 919

No. 84

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Forwards copy communication from Deputy Commissioner, B. District, with a copy of or and a remission statem for Rs. 400 on account loss sustained by Venkte -chellaiah Toddy Vend Farm of Sathnoor Pirka, Kankan Taluk, and requests sanc to the same.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.) RW 24/8/94

Submitted for orders

23/8

23/8/94 Check draft as below -

The following facts have been gleaned from the papers sent up

1 The monthly Kist was Rs 255 and up to 8th Jany 93 only Rs 680 having been paid instead Rs 2040 clearly indicates that the defaulter must have commenced when Jones Kist fell due on 15th July 92 if not earlier; and, yet, no action appears to have been taken to re-sale the Farm or bring it under Govt management as required under Cond.n I of the Agrt. or in fact do anything at all till 8th Jany 93 or after 5 or 6 months elapsed - Govt thereby desire to know who is to be held answerable for the initial loss of Rs 1360 resulting in the clear terms of the Agrt having been ignored - It is stated the Bailiff is dead & the Asst Inspector has been removed but Govt are decided of opinion that it devolved on the Dist Office to insist on the Taluq Offrs to act at the outset and failing thus the matter should not have been overlooked in the Dist Office -

2 It should be further explained why at outset when the Farmer failed the Shikoni days were not at once taken over and this action delayed till 8th Jany 1894? Had this been done at least in August 92 instead of only Rs 275 having been realized from this source some thing like that sum would have been readily secured.

3 According to para 11 of the Dist proceedings it should be reported if the sum of Rs 18

pertains the Shikmidar Imam Sahib
ceased to sue years 7 thereof and as much was
ed by him pr d on behalf of the late Jamna
Shah Jamna's account & if so why it
d not be credited towards the arrears due to
the Imam Sahib in question is a different
individual to the Shikmidar named -?

6. It is further observed that
collections at the 5 Mahals with the Shikmi
ips aggregated only Rs 558/3/7 or about Rs 201
monthly for 8½ Jany to end of March 93 while
Khist due was Rs 255. Considering that the
riod between Jany & March is that in which
rvests are the best in the year & the
t thereafter the largest the falling off is
tainly remarkable while the measurement
ected by the Deputy Inspector by the nominal
of Survey is inadequate. — Seventy fifth

5. The Jamna deposited Rs 255 on account
months Khist and he had in that case
ssession personal property in addition to the
tent of 3 months Khist Rs 765 & Rs 1020 in
e while the property attached is valued at
s 1166/12/5. But what is singular and
alls for full explanation is that despite
t is actually known to the Tahsildar that the actual
sale will result in bringing actually less than the actual
unt of Rs 1,000 the Dy: Comr has fixed one lac
upon the Sy: Comr has fixed Rs 40,000 which we deem irrecoverable
in any way. Inam Commr recommends Rs 25,000 & the Comr
ner Shd have declined & pointed out this
glaring error actually backs it up & advocates
the step!

6. The whole case has not only been
most grossly mismanaged but the
supervising control which Inam Commr exercises over the subject
matters in question has not cannot help but mark its
serious displeasure on the defects disclosed in the case.

7. The result of the order to the Tahsildar
should be in the Collr Shd be reported & the
actual sum irrecoverable recorded while
it Shd be left to Govt to decide whether
any remission should be accorded or not. The
Collr is therefore requested to report in accordance with the
above remarks in regard thereto. —

BC.

11/9/94

Chirpey.

Excise Commissioners Office
Bangalore dated 23rd August 1894

No. 919 (Encl & file)
84

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir

In forwarding herewith copy of Docket No. A 76, dated 21st instant together with a copy of order and a remission Statement for Rs 400 received from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, on account of loss sustained by one Venkatachelliah Toddy Vend Farmer of Sathnoor Sirka in the Kankanhully Taluk, for the year 1892-93, I have the honor to request that under the circumstances set forth by the Deputy Commissioner in his order the remission Statement may be sanctioned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

No A-76

4

Enclosure 8/4

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 21st August 1894.

Ref his No 64 dated 26th Ultmo

In reference to the above has the honor to forward herewith, copy of order and permission Statement for Rs 400 for submission to Government for sanction. The appeal petition, with enclosures, is returned.

Signed. H. Lang Meason
for Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

L Rice
Excise Commissioner
8/-

S.

Read the petitions and records in the matter of arrears of Rents due by Venkatachella Toddy Renter Farmer for 1892-93 of Sathnoor Firka of Kankanhally Taluk and his surety Krishnappa Naik

Order thereon No dated

July 1894

Venkatachella took the lease of Sathnoor Firka for 1892-93 for a monthly rental of Rs 253-- including the cess. His farm had 8 shops

253/12
30/6

1. Sathnoor
2. Halsoor
3. Kabbalu
4. Naikenhalli
5. Jekkandoddi
6. Venkatroyerdoddi
7. Kothidibba &
8. Hittlamara.

2. The following vanams were attached to the farm

1. Sathnoor Kaggor
2. Thoniyam
3. Alalakandi
4. Chattira &
5. Gollankere

6. Thus the Djaradar had 10 miles extra. As a matter of fact he drew his supply mainly from the Bottigere nana which clearly proves that he could not get a supply nearer.

7. The total demand for the whole year ——— 3060 ——

Deduct

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Khists collected ——— | 680 — | |
| Deposit credited ——— | 255 — | |
| Collections at 5 shops attached and credited since 8th January 1893 under orders of the Deputy Commissioner, exclusive of cost ——— | 283-3-7 | |
| Paid by Shikmidars of the remaining 3 shops since 8th January 1893 ——— | 100 — | |
| Recovered from Imam Saheb one of the Shikmidars | 175 — | |
| | 1493-3-7 | 1493-3-7 |
| | | 1566-12-5. |

8. All the relief that the defaulter is entitled to is what arose from the wrong order of the Amildar's which compelled the Djaradar to go 10 miles more. I have minutely calculated the extra cost. It comes in round numbers to Rs 400.

This amount will be recommended for remission.

9. The Djaradar complained that the Toddy Inspector Annaji Rao had a Share in his Djara and received more money than he remitted to the Treasury. I have thoroughly gone into the Complaint examined witnesses and perused Annaji Rao's letters. The Complaint is not proved. The Complainant holds no receipts and there is absolutely no proof of extra payments to Annaji Rao. Annaji Rao's letters do not prove partnership I dismiss this Complaint altogether. But Annaji Rao is very much to blame for the mismanagement between 8th January and the end of the year (30th March 1893). His net profits were very low. He has been removed from the Inspectorship owing to his bad work generally in the Taluk It is also to be noted that the Amildar at fault is now dead.

10. Whereas the question of interest A great deal of the delay is due to

Government servants who delayed final disposal of the question. It is not easy to fix the blame on any particular officials. Annaji Rao has already been punished; the Amildar is dead. All things considered I think it is but fair to exonerate the defaulter from interest except what may be found due as follows. The monthly rent for calculating interest should be reduced by $\frac{400}{12}$ Rs and interest should be calculated on the balances due at that rate upto January 1873. The rest of the interest should be recommended for remission. Rs 1566-12-5 minus Rs 400, or Rs1166-12-5 with interest calculated above should be collected. If it is not paid within a week after notice to be issued by the Amildar the properties under attachment should be sold.

11. Rs 18— collected from Imam Saheb is in deposit in Chennapatna This amount does not appear from enquiry to be due by him. It

should be repaid to him

(Signed) Dr. Shuyaemadi

Deputy Commissioner.

"Copy

Mdanegilerao

Forest Commissioner

Bangalore district

Statement shewing the irrecovable amounts, both arrears & current recommended for remission in the case of Venkatachelapa a Toddy Vend Farmer of Sathnur Firka, Kanakanahally Taluk for the year 1892-93.

| Name of Farm or Shop | Name of the defaulter | Amount of the original bid for unexpired term in the case of Shops & Farm unless otherwise stated | Amount of the bid at the rate | Date of Sale | Loss by resale up to the end of the lease | Arrears prior to date of Sale | Total amount to be received from the defaulter | Amount of deposits and sale proceeds of Liquor to be credited towards arrears | Balance irrecoverable | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Sathnur Farm | Venkatachelah | 3060 - - | - - - | - | - - | - - - | 1566 12 5 + interest up to date of collection | 1166 12 5 + interest up to date of collection | 400 - - + 37 - - interest | *Amount ordered to collect this amount by sale of the property under attachment |

17

255/765 255/1020

H. Lawrence Halloway
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

(6) 5 13

S.R 13 y 1894-5

Read:— S 12.

Letter No 919/84,

dated 23rd August 1894, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, Submitting for sanction a remission Statement for Rs 400/- out of the amount due by Venkatachelliah, Toddy Vend Farmer of Kankanhalli Taluk, for 1892-93.

" "

Order thereon No

" "

For the reasons explain-ed in the document called the Deputy Commissioner's "Pro-ceedings" and "order" sent with the Excise Commissioners Letter, Sanction is accorded to

5-85-3-4 of 29.9.94
R898 3.10

to a remission of Rs 400/- and interest as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner.

2. The papers show that the Farmer became a defaulter and that coercive measures had to be resorted to. It is not clear why these measures were not resorted to as soon as the default was made.

29/9

Chief Secretary.

26/9

To,

The Excise Commissioner at Mysore.

The Comptroller to Mysore Govt.